

**IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT  
AT AUCKLAND**

**ENV- 2016 - AKL - 000243**

**IN THE MATTER** of the Local Government (Auckland Transitional Provisions) Act 2010 and the Resource Management Act 1991

**AND**

**IN THE MATTER** of an appeal under section 156(1) of the Local Government (Auckland Transitional Provisions) Act 2010

**BETWEEN** **K VERNON**

Appellant

**AND** **AUCKLAND COUNCIL**

Respondent

---

**SECTION 274 NOTICE BY STRIDE PROPERTY LIMITED and STRIDE HOLDINGS LIMITED TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS**

Dated 5 October 2016

---

---

**HEIMSATH ALEXANDER**

— Barristers and Solicitors —

Ph: (09) 929 0500  
Fax: (09) 379 5385  
Email: bianca@halaw.co.nz  
Solicitor: B Tree

Level 1, Shed 22  
Princes Wharf, 147 Quay Street  
P O Box 105884, Auckland City  
Auckland 1143

To: **The Registrar  
Environment Court  
Auckland**

1. Stride Property Limited and Stride Holdings Limited (**Stride**) wishes to be a party to the following proceedings:
  - a. K Vernon v Auckland Council ENV-2016-AKL-000243.
  - b. The proceedings relate to the Proposed Auckland Unitary Plan (**PAUP**).
2. Stride made submissions and further submissions on the subject matter of the proceedings (S 3863; FS 868), and as a landowner with significant investment in the business zones of the PAUP, has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the general public.
3. Stride is not a trade competitor for the purpose of section 308C of the Resource Management Act 1991.
4. Stride is interested in the following parts of the proceedings:
  - a. Topic 012 Infrastructure, Energy and Transport, and Topic 013 Urban Growth. Stride opposes the relief sought as it will fail to support a quality, compact urban form;
  - b. Topic 043/044 Transport. Stride supports the relief sought as it will enable an appropriate level of carparking that is consistent with and will support the role and function of the centre and business zones subject to Area 1;
  - c. Topic 050/054 City Centre and Business zones. Stride opposes the relief sought, and in particular imposing a height to boundary control within the Mixed Use zone and between the Mixed Use and General Business zone, as it will unnecessarily constrain appropriate development in these zones;
  - d. Topic 065 Definitions. Stride opposes amendment to the definitions of Height and Building;

- e. Topic 001 Whole Plan and General (Notification). The relief sought is not clear. Stride opposes any amendment to the notification provisions throughout the PAUP.
5. Stride agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.



---

**BJ Tree**  
Counsel for Stride Property Limited and  
Stride Holdings Limited

Date 5 October 2016

**Address for service of Stride Property Limited and Stride Holdings Limited:**

C/O Heimsath Alexander  
Level 1, Shed 22, Prince's Wharf, 147 Quay Street, Auckland  
P O Box 105884, Auckland 1143  
Attention: Bianca Tree  
Telephone: 09 929 0507  
Fax: 09 379 5385  
Email: bianca@halaw.co.nz